Carbenoids, Nitrenoids, Oxenoids: Very Electrophilic "Anions"

Gernot Boche*

Fachbereich Chemie, Philipps-Universität Marburg, D-35032 Marburg, Germany

Abstract: The rather interesting question why the "anionic" carbenoids 1a, nitrenoids 1b and oxenoids 1c react so easily with nucleophiles is answered by experimental investigations of their structure and by means of quantumchemical calculations. It turns out that the bond to the leaving group (C(N,O)-X) is weakened (elongated), and that the energy of the $\sigma^*_{C(N,O)-X}$ orbital is lowered. This allows for a more facile substitution of X by a nucleophile. The substitution of X is further supported by the metal cation M^+ .

INTRODUCTION

Carbenoids 1a as well as the related nitrenoids 1b and oxenoids 1c have something in common which is not observed in the case of "normal anions": besides the usual reaction with electrophiles they also react with *nucleophiles*, as e.g. organolithium species R'Li, to give the substitution products 3.

 $a: Y = R_2C$; b: Y = RN; c: Y = OM = Li, Na, K, MgX, ZnX; X = Hal, OR This rather astonishing *electrophilic* behaviour of the "anionic" 1 has intrigued chemists from the very beginning of the discovery of 1. Concomitantly the question was raised about the reason for this unexpected property. Furthermore, one had to exclude that the reaction actually started with an α-elimination of MX at 1 to give first the six-electron intermediates 2 which are expected to react very fast with nucleophiles like R'Li also to give 3. Since carbenoids 1a are the most intensively studied species discussed here, it is first shown that in most cases indeed 1a reacts with nucleophiles - even with much weaker nucleophiles than organolithium compounds. Then structural investigations of 1 are reported which agree perfectly with the electrophilicity of 1. Quantumchemical calculations support these experimental findings and lead to a final understanding of the case. Reactions of 1 with electrophiles are not the subject of this article (Ref. 1).

REACTIONS OF 1a-c WITH NUCLEOPHILES

Compounds of the type 1a (M = Li, Na, MgX, ZnX; X = Hal, OR) have been prepared for the first time by Wittig et al. in 1941 (Ref. 2a), although their properties were not investigated systematically at that time. The term "carbenoid molecule" was coined in 1962 by Closs and Closs (Ref. 3), and later changed into "carbenoid" by Closs and Moss (Ref. 4). These authors noticed that e.g. diphenyldibromomethane 4 and methyllithium reacted stereospecifically with the olefin (Z)-8 to give only the cyclopropane cis-9, while Skell et al. (Ref. 5) had found that the photolysis of diphenyldiazomethane 6, which is known to lead to the diphenylcarbene 7, resulted in the presence of the olefin (Z)-8 in a mixture of cis-9 and trans-9.

Ph₂CBr₂
$$\xrightarrow{CH_3Li}$$
 Ph₂CLiBr $\xrightarrow{H_3C}$ Ph₂C: $\xrightarrow{hv \text{ or } \Delta}$ Ph₂CN₂

4 5 7 6

H₃C CH₃ $\xrightarrow{H_3C}$ Ph Ph Ph

Cis - 9 $\xrightarrow{CH_3}$ $\xrightarrow{H_3C}$ $\xrightarrow{CH_3}$ $\xrightarrow{H_3C}$ $\xrightarrow{CH_3}$ $\xrightarrow{CH_3}$ $\xrightarrow{H_3C}$ $\xrightarrow{CH_3}$ $\xrightarrow{$

Thus, 5 is not the desired "carbene precursor" like 6. Rather it shows an electrophilic reactivity with a CC double bond of its own kind. The S_N2 -type transition state for the reaction of 5 with 8 with a backside attack of the π -orbital of 8 at the σ^*_{C-Br} -orbital of 5 as proposed by Closs et al. (Ref. 3,4) was supported by theoretical investigations (Ref. 6). A recent study of the intramolecular cyclopropane formation of diastereomeric carbenoids provided the proof for the preferred S_N2 -type transition state topology (Ref. 7).

At this point the question arises whether it is always the carbenoid which reacts with the CC double bond (in general: a nucleophile). As it turned out this is generally the case except for those examples in which the carbenes are strongly stabilized by appropriate substituents and thus more easily formed, as for example in metalated haloform type pairs like LiCCl₃/CCl₂ (Ref. 8,9), and in the case of LiC(SPh)₃/C(SPh)₂ (Ref. 10). Hine, in his classical work (Ref. 8), was the first to demonstrate that in aqueous dioxane haloforms are hydrolysed by alkali through dihalocarbenes CX₂ formed from the corresponding MCX₃ species, while Köbrich showed that it is not the carbenoid LiCCl₃ but rather the carbene CCl₂ which reacted with olefins (Ref. 9). Furthermore, in a kinetic investigation, it was demonstrated by Seebach (Ref. 10), that LiC(SPh)₃ "decomposes" to give finally (PhS)₂C=C(SPh)₂ via (PhS)₂C as an intermediate. The behaviour of LiCCl₃/CCl₂ is in stark contrast to that of, e.g., LiCHCl₂ in which case formation of the monochloro-carbene CHCl was never observed (Ref. 11). The significance of the stabilization of the carbene is nicely supported by the ΔH°_{f, 298°} values of various carbenes, see Table 1.

Table 1. Heats of formation $(\Delta H^{\circ}_{f, 298^{\circ}})$ [kcal/mol] of carbenes (Ref. 12,13)

ã 1 A ₁ CH ₂ , g (Ref. 12)	$\tilde{x}^1 A_1 CCl_2 (Ref. 13)$	$\widetilde{x}^{1}A_{1}$ CF ₂ (Ref. 13)
101.9 ± 0.5	52.1 ± 3.4	-39.4 ± 3.4

Thus, dichlorocarbene is 49.8 kcal/mol and difluorocarbene even 141.3 kcal/mol more stable than the CH₂ singlet (Ref. 14). The isolation of stable carbenes by Arduengo (Ref. 15), stabilized by two R₂N-, or R₂N- and RS-substituents, highlights the tendency as indicated above.

Examples of a carbenoid reacting with a C-C bond were also reported (Ref. 16-19). In a recently published case (Ref. 20) either the neighboring C-C or the C-H bond acts as a

nucleophile. In the diastereoisomer 10a, the C-R bond adopts the prefered conformation for the backside substitution of Cl at the carbenoid carbon atom to give 11, while in 10b it is the C-H bond, which leads to the migration of hydrogen to the carbenoid atom finally giving 12. In both cases the selectivity is 99:1.

It is obvious that only carbenoids with a stereogenic carbon atom like in 10a and 10b can undergo such chemoselective rearrangements. If a carbone would be the product determining intermediate, 10a and 10b should lead to the same product ratio of 11 and 12.

Reactions of C-H bonds with α -chlorocyclopropyllithium compounds as electrophiles were reported by Traylor et al. (Ref. 21). They are in agreement with the S_N2 -type backside attack of the nucelphile at the carbenoid carbon atom as in 10b. Inversion of configuration at the carbenoid C atom is also reported from investigations by Oku et al. (Ref. 22). In this case the carbenoid abstracts a hydride H from an alkoxide in a bimolecular reaction (Ref. 23,24).

Stereoselective reactions of carbenoids with organometallic compounds RLi have first been reported by Walborsky et al. (Ref. 25). In the reaction of (S)-13 with t-butyllithium to give first (S)-14 and then (R)-15, followed by deuteration with CH₃OD, (R)-16 is formed with 39 (ether) and 53 (THF) % ee.

Most importantly, in the reaction of the vinylic carbenoid (S)-14 with t-BuLi inversion of configuration occurs to give (R)-15 as shown by its deuteration to give (R)-16. Cyclopropyl

carbenoids react with "anionic" nucleophiles in a similar S_N2-type fashion with inversion of configuration at the carbenoid C atom (Ref. 25d, 26, 27). It is worth mentioning that "normal" vinylic and cyclopropyl halides are inaccessible to nucleophilic substitution!

All of the examples discussed in this chapter illustrate the high electrophilicity of the "anionic" carbenoids, which is similarly found in reactions of nitrenoids (Ref. 28) and oxenoids with nucleophiles like organolithium compounds RLi (Ref. 29). What is the reason for the strong electrophilic nature of 1a-c?

STRUCTURAL INVESTIGATIONS AND QUANTUM CHEMICAL CALCULATIONS OF 1a-c

The first informations about the structure of carbenoids came from ¹H-, ¹³C- and ⁶Li-nmr investigations by Seebach et al. (Ref. 30). The important discovery was the downfield shift of the carbenoid carbon atom if compared to the nonlithiated species. Normally, organolithium compounds derived from aliphatic hydrocarbons are found to have a highfield shift. The examples in Table 2 illustrate the situation.

Table 2. Chemical shifts Δδ [ppm] of carbenoid and normal RLi compounds

In methyllithium 16, the ¹³C signal is 13.0 ppm at higher field than in methane, while in the carbenoids 17-19 the ¹³C signal is increasingly shifted towards lower field. A similar observation was made by Boche et al. (Ref. 31) in the Li/OR carbenoid series (see 20 and 21), while the sulfur-substituted 22 (Ref. 30) obviously has no carbenoid character. Investigation of the ¹³C-⁶Li (¹H, ¹³C) coupling contants in carbenoids led to the conclusion that the carbon orbital of the C-Li bond should have higher s-character which leads to more p-character in the other three orbitals (Ref. 30). IGLO-calculation of the chemical shifts in carbenoids (Ref. 32)

indicate a strong interaction of the electrons in the C-Li bond with the σ^*_{C-X} orbital as the main reason for the strong paramagnetic contribution to the ¹³C downfield shift.

In a series of publications, Schleyer et al. investigated the properties of carbenoids by means of quantumchemical methods (Ref. 33). As an example, Table 3 gives the results of methanol 23 and the four LiCH₂OH isomers 24a-d as models for Li/OR carbenoids.

Table 3. MP2/6-311++G(d,p) (Ref. 31a) and (in parenthesis) earlier calculated (Ref. 33d) MP2/6-31G(d) structures of methanol 23 and the LiCH₂OH isomers 24a-d; bond lengths in [pm]; rel. energies in [kcal/mol].

24 c;
$$18.0 (19.6)$$

H

Li

 151.4
 (156.7)
 (156.7)
 (156.7)
 (220.5)

H

Li

 152.3
 (155.6)
 (155.6)
 (156.7)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 (149.1)
 $(1$

In the most stable 24a (rel. energy 0.0 kcal/mol) Li bridges C and O, and the C-O bond (152.3 pm) is lengthened as compared to the one in methanol 23 (142.1 pm). A similar bond lengthening is observed in the C-Li isomer 24b and in the O-Li isomer 24c. In 24d the C-O bond is even broken. 24c and 24d are carbene complexes of LiOH. Most importantly, the predictions of the calculations were nicely verified by means of X-ray crystal structure determinations of all four structural types of the model 24 (Ref. 31a, 34): in the cases corresponding to 24a-c the C-O bonds are significantly longer than in the corresponding non-lithiated species, which indeed turned out to be mostly due to the higher p-character of these bonds.

An illustrating example for the significance of the nature of the carbon centered orbitals of the C-M and the C-X bonds, respectively, in carbonoids is provided by calculations of the structure of a C-lithiated (25-Li·2H₂O) and a C-zincated oxazole 25-ZnCl·2H₂O (Ref. 35).

25-Li • 2 H₂O
$$\stackrel{N-}{\underset{108.3^{\circ}}{\text{N}}}$$
 $\stackrel{132.0 \text{ pm}}{\underset{111.1^{\circ}}{\text{Cl}}}$ $\stackrel{Cl}{\underset{137.8 \text{ pm}}{\text{Cl}}}$ $\stackrel{Cl}{\underset{111.1^{\circ}}{\text{Cl}}}$ $\stackrel{Cl}{\underset{137.8 \text{ pm}}{\text{Cl}}}$ 25-ZnCl • 2 H₂O $\stackrel{N-}{\underset{137.8 \text{ pm}}{\text{Cl}}}$ $\stackrel{NBO - \text{ analysis:}}{\underset{C-O, C: \text{ sp}^{1.9}}{\text{Cc}}}$ $\stackrel{C}{\underset{C-O, C: \text{ sp}^{2.5}}{\text{Cc}}}$ $\stackrel{C}{\underset{C-N, C: \text{ sp}^{1.7}}{\text{Cl}}}$

In 25-Li·2H₂O, the "lone pair" orbital on C has sp^{1.0} character. Correspondingly, the carbon orbitals in the C-O and C-N bonds are of comparatively high p-character (sp^{3.6} and sp^{2.3}). In the more covalent 25-ZnCl·2H₂O one finds higher p-character in the C-Zn bond (sp^{1.9}) and therefore lower p-character in the C-O (sp^{2.5}) and C-N bonds (sp^{1.7}), which is reflected in the C-O and C-N bond lengths as well as in the N-C-O angles of these compounds (Ref. 35a). The calculated results agree again nicely with the experiment: lithiathed oxazoles have never been observed because of a very facile ring-opening reaction (LiOR α -elimination) (Ref. 35b). In contrast, the solid state structure of a zincated oxazole was recently determined (Ref. 35a). Solid state structures of Li/Cl carbenoids (Ref. 36a,b) and a MgBr/Br carbenoid (Ref. 36c)

Solid state structures of Li/Cl carbenoids (Ref. 36a,b) and a MgBr/Br carbenoid (Ref. 36c) confirmed the above findings: in all cases the C-X bonds are strongly elongated.

Quantum chemical calculations of nitrenoids 1b and oxenoids 1c provided similar results as in the case of carbenoids 1a: the N-X and O-X bonds are elongated in the lithiated as compared to the non-lithiated compounds, which was again proven by solid state structure investigations (Ref. 28d, 29). From the calculations it became furthermore clear why the "anionic" carbenoids 1a, nitrenoids 1b and oxenoids 1c are such strong electrophiles: besides an elongated and thus weakened $\sigma_{C(N,O)-X}$ bond to the leaving group X, the $\sigma^*_{C(N,O)-X}$ orbital is energetically lowered which allows for a more efficient back-side interaction of the incoming nucleophile with this orbital. In addition , the Lewis acid character of the metal M in these species facilities both the approach of the nucleophile to the carbenoid (nitrenoid, oxenoid) atom as well as the removal of the anionic leaving group X thereof.

In conclusion, investigations of the structures of carbenoids 1a, nitrenoids 1b and oxenoids 1c, together with quantumchemical studies of their structures, energies and electronic natures, provided a deeper insight into these "anions", and thus an understanding of their electrophilicity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Sonderforschungsbereich 260. G. Boche is grateful for the financial support, and to the coworkers listed in the references.

REFERENCES

(1) Review articles on carbenoids dealing mostly with their preparation and reactions with electrophiles: a) J. Hine, "Divalent Carbon", Ronald Press, New York 1964; b) W. Kirmse, "Carbene Chemistry", Academic Press, New York 1964; c) W. Kirmse, Angew. Chem. 77, 1 (1965); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 4, 1 (1965); d) G. Köbrich, ibid. 79, 15 (1967), respect. 6, 41 (1967); ibid. 84, 557 (1972), respect. 11, 473 (1972); e) W. Kirmse, "Carbene, Carbenoide und Carbenanaloga", Verlag Chemie, Weinheim 1969; f) M. Jones Jr., R.A. Moss, Eds. Carbenes, Vol.I, II, Wiley, Interscience, Publ., New York 1973; g) P. J. Stang, Chem. Rev. 78, 385 (1978); h) H. Siegel, Top. Curr. Chem. 106, 55 (1982); i) K.G. Taylor, Tetrahedron 38, 2751 (1982); j) P.J. Stang, Acc. Chem. Res. 15, 348 (1982). In the following review articles carbenoids are not treated exclusively. There are, however, further review articles listed which might be of interest to the reader: k) Houben-Weyl: Methoden der Organischen Chemie; Regitz, M. Ed.; EXIXb, Vol. I and III Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart 1989; 1) G. Boche, H.M. Walborsky, "Cyclopropane Derived Reactive Intermediates" Ed. S. Patai, Z. Rappoport, Chapter 4, Wiley, New York 1990; m) Li-compounds: V. Snieckus, M. Gray, M. Tinkl, Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II, G. Wilkinson, Ed., Vol. 11, Pergamon Press, New York 1995, p.1; n) MgX-compounds: J.M. Brown, S.K. Armstrong, ibid, Vol. 11, p. 129; o) Zn-compounds: P. Knochel, ibid., Vol. 11, p. 159.

- (2) a) G. Wittig, H. Witt, Ber. dtsch. chem. Ges. 74, 1474 (1941); see also b) G. Wittig, L. Löhmann, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 550, 260 (1942); c) K. Ziegler, H.-G. Gellert, ibid. 567, 185 (1950).
- (3) G. L. Closs, L.E. Closs, Angew. Chem. 74, 431 (1962).
- (4) G.L. Closs, R.A. Moss, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 86, 4042 (1964).
- (5) R. M. Etter, H.S. Skovronek, P.S. Skell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 81, 1008 (1959).
- (6) a) J. Mareda, N.G. Rondan, K.N. Houk, T. Clark, P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 6997 (1983); other proposals of such transition states: b) H. E. Simmons, R.D. Smith, ibid, 80, 5323 (1958); ibid. 81, 4256 (1959); c) W.V.E. Doering, P.M. La Flamme, Tetrahedron 2, 75 (1958); d) H.E. Simmons, E.P. Blanchard, R.D. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 86, 1347 (1964); e) G. Köbrich, K. Flory, N. Drischel, Angew. Chem. 76, 536 (1964); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 3, 513 (1964); f) D.F. Hoeg, D.I. Lusk, A.L. Crumbliss, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87, 4147 (1965); g) Moser, ibid. 91, 1135 (1969); ibid. 1141; h) A.H. Hoveyda, D.A. Evans, S.C. Fu, Chem. Rev. 93, 1307 (1993).
- (7) H.C. Stiasny, R.W. Hoffmann, Chem. Eur. J. 1, 619 (1995).
- (8) J. Hine, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72, 2438 (1950); see also ref. 1a.
- (9) a) G. Köbrich, K. Flory, R.H. Fischer, Chem. Ber. 99, 1793 (1966); b) G. Köbrich, H.
 Büttner, E. Wagner, Angew. Chem. 82, 177 (1970); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 9, 169 (1970).
- (10) J. Seebach, A.K. Beck, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 1540 (1969).
- (11) G. Köbrich, H.R. Merkle, Chem. Ber. 99, 1782 (1966).
- (12) D.G. Leopold, K.K. Murray, A.E. Stephens-Miller, W.C. Lineberger, J. Chem. Phys.83, 4849 (1985), and ref. therein.
- (13) J. A. Paulino, R.R. Squires, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113, 5573 (1991).
- (14) The CH₂ triplet is 9.3 kcal/mol more stable than the singlet (Ref. 12,13).

- (15) a) A.J. Arduengo III, R.W. Harlow, M. Kline, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113, 361 (1991); b)
 A.J. Arduengo III, J.R. Goerlich, W.J. Marshall, ibid. 117, 11027 (1995); c) A.J.
 Arduengo III, J.R. Goerlich, W.J. Marshall, Liebigs Ann./Recueil 365 (1997); d)
 recent survey: M. Regitz, Angew. Chem. 108, 791 (1996); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.
 35, 725 (1996).
- (16) a) J. Villieras, C. Bacquet, J.F. Normant, J. Organomet. Chem. 40, C1 (1972); see also
 b) ibid. 97, 325 (1973); c) J. Villieras, C. Bacquet, J.F. Normant, C.R. Acad. Sci. Ser.
 C 276, 433 (1973); d) J. Villieras, C. Bacquet, J.F. Normant, J. Organomet. Chem. 97, 325 (1975); ibid. 97, 355 (1975).
- (17) G. Köbrich, J. Grosser, Tetrahedron Lett. 4117 (1972).
- (18) H. Taguchi, H. Yamamoto, H. Nozaki, Tetrahedron Lett. 4661 (1972); J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96, 3010 (1974); ibid. 96, 6510 (1974).
- (19) I. Kuwajima, Y. Fukuda, Chem. Lett. 372 (1973).
- (20) T. Satoh, N. Itoh, K. Gengyo, S. Takada, N. Asakawa, Y. Yamani, K. Yamakawa, Tetrahedron 50, 11839 (1994) and ref. cited.
- (21) K.G. Traylor, J. Cheney, J.C. Deck, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 4163 (1976).
- (22) A. Oku, T. Harada, K. Hattori, Y. Nozaki, Y. Yamaura, *J. Org. Chem.* 53, 3089 (1988), and earlier publications of A. Oku et al.
- (23) T. Cohen et al. studied intramolecular hydride additions to carbenoids, see, e.g., R.H. Ritter, T. Cohen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108, 3718 (1986).
- (24) One should also mention the first systematic investigations dealing with the problem of carbenoid versus carbene insertion into C-H bonds by M.J. Goldstein, W.R. Dolbier, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87, 2293 (1965), and by W. Kirmse, G. Wächtershäuser, Tetrahedron 22, 73 (1966), which are in agreement with carbenoids as the reacting species.

- (25) a) M. Duraisamy, H.M. Walborsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 5035 (1984); b) H.M. Walborsky, M. Duraisamy, Tetrahedron Lett. 26, 2443 (1985); c) J. Rachon, V. Goedken, H.M. Walborsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108, 7435 (1986); d) M. Topolski, M. Duraisamy, J. Rachon, J. Gawronski, K. Gawronska, V. Goedken, H.M. Walborsky, J. Org. Chem. 58, 546 (1993).
- (26) K. Kitani, H. Yamamoto, T. Hiyama, H. Nozaki, Bull. Soc. Chem. Jap. 50, 2158 (1977).
- (27) a) T. Harada, T. Katsuhira, D. Hara, Y. Kotani, K. Maejima, R. Kaji, A. Oku, J. Org. Chem. 58, 4897 (1993); b) T. Harada, T. Katsuhira, K. Hattori, A. Oku, ibid. 58, 2958 (1993).
- (28) a) N.I. Schverdina, Z. Kotsheschkov, J. Gen. Chem. USSR Engl. Transl. 8, 1825 (1938); b) P. Beak, A. Basha, B. Kokko, D. Loo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108, 6016 (1986);
 c) J.P. Genet, S. Mallart, C. Greek, E. Piveteau, Tetrahedron Lett. 32, 2359 (1991); d)
 Short review: G. Boche, C. Boie, F. Bosold, K. Harms, M. Marsch, Angew. Chem. 106, 90 (1994); Angew. Chem. Int. Engl. Ed. 33, 115 (1994).
- (29) a) G. Boche, F. Bosold, J.C.W. Lohrenz, Angew. Chem. 106, 1228 (1994); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 33, 1161 (1994); b) G. Boche, K. Möbus, K. Harms, M. Marsch, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118, 2770 (1996); c) G. Boche, K. Möbus, K. Harms, J.C.W. Lohrenz, M. Marsch, Chem. Eur. J. 2, 604 (1996); d) indepently, M. Julia, V.P. Saint-Jalmes, J.N. Vespeaux, Synlett 233 (1993), reported also on oxenoids for the first time.
- (30) a) H. Siegel, K. Hiltbrunner, D. Seebach, Angew. Chem. 91, 845 (1979); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 18, 785 (1979); b) D. Seebach, R. Hässig, J. Gabriel, Helv. Chim. Acta 66, 308 (1983), and ref. cited.
- (31) a) G. Boche, A. Opel, M. Marsch, K. Harms, F. Haller, J.C.W. Lohrenz, C. Thümmler,
 W. Koch, *Chem. Ber.* 125, 2265 (1992); b) G. Boche, F. Bosold, J.C.W. Lohrenz, A.
 Opel, P. Zulauf, *ibid.* 126, 1873 (1993), and ref. cited.

- (32) J.C.W. Lohrenz, G. Boche, unpublished results.
- (33) a) T. Clark, P.v.R. Schleyer, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 883 (1979); b) Tetrahedron Lett. 4963 (1979); c) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 7747 (1979); d) J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 579 (1981); e) P.v.R. Schleyer, T. Clark, A.J. Kos, G.W. Spitznagel, C. Rohde, D. Arard, K.N. Houk, N.G. Rondan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 6467 (1984), and ref. cited.
- (34) a) G. Boche, F. Bosold, P. Zulauf, M. Marsch, K. Harms, J.C.W. Lohrenz, Angew. Chem. 103, 1497 (1991); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 30, 1455 (1991); see also S. Harder, J. Boersma, L. Brandsma, J.A. Kanters, W. Bauer, R. Pi, R.v.R. Schleyer, H. Schöllhorn, U. Thewalt, Organometallics 8, 1688 (1989); b) Marsch, K. Harms, O. Zschage, D. Hoppe, G. Boche, Angew. Chem. 103, 338 (1991); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 30, 321 (1991); c) G. Boche, C. Hilf, M. Marsch, K. Harms, J.C.W. Lohrenz, ibid. 107, 509 (1995); respectively 34, 487 (1995).
- (35) a) G. Boche, H. Hermann, F. Bosold, unpublished results; b) C. Hilf, F. Bosold, K. Harms, M. Marsch, G. Boche, Chem. Ber. 130 (1997) in print.
- (36) a) G. Boche, M. Marsch, A. Müller, K. Harms, Angew. Chem. 105, 1081 (1993);
 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 32, 1032 (1993); b) A. Müller, M. Marsch, K. Harms,
 J.C.W. Lohrenz, G. Boche, ibid. 108, 1639 (1996); respectively 35, 1518 (1996); c) G.
 Boche, K. Harms, M. Marsch, A. Müller, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1393 (1994).